

Non-Financial Information

Environmental Data

☑ Indicators with this icon have been assured by KPMG AZSA Sustainability Co., Ltd. for fiscal 2021.

Boundary of data aggregation

The data covers the four operating companies (Mitsubishi Chemical, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, Life Science Institute, and Nippon Sanso Holdings) and their domestic and overseas Group companies.

Energy consumption/Greenhouse gases (GHG)*1	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
☑ GHG emissions (Scope 1 + Scope 2) (1,000 metric t-CO ₂ e)*2	14,187	16,629*4	15,325	16,079
☑ Scope 1	6,787	8,455	7,786	7,829
☑ Scope 2	7,400	8,174	7,540	8,250
☑ Scope 3*5	49,260	51,820	51,930	53,637
☑ Energy consumption (GWh)*3	39,126	49,110	47,335	48,425

Environmental impact	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
☑ NOx emissions (1,000 metric tons)	7.54	8.28	7.94	7.91
☑ SOx emissions (1,000 metric tons)	4.07	3.39	3.23	3.08
☑ COD (1,000 metric tons)*6	1.84	1.80	1.68	1.70
☑ Total nitrogen emissions (1,000 metric tons)*6	5.64	5.67	4.87	4.85
☑ Total phosphorous emissions (1,000 metric tons)*6	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.09

Water use	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
☑ Water withdrawal (Million m ³) (excluding seawater)	189	204	216	222

*1 Based on the GHG Protocol, energy used to produce electricity and steam sold externally and the resulting CO₂ emissions are not excluded. Since fiscal 2019, the data has included half of the energy consumption and GHG emissions of joint operations in Japan.

*2 For the calculation of emissions in Japan, the base emission factors of individual electric power companies specified in the Act on Promotion of Global Warming Countermeasures are used in principle, with an alternative emission factor used in cases where the specific emission factor is unavailable. GHG emissions that are not subject to reporting under the Act are mostly calculated based on the mass balance of chemical reactions. Overseas Scope 1 emissions are calculated with the emission factors specified in the Act on Promotion of Global Warming Countermeasures, and overseas Scope 2 emissions are calculated with power company-specific emission factors or country-level emission factors for electricity published by the IEA.

*3 The unit higher heating values for fuels specified in the Act on the Rational Use of Energy are used.

*4 In fiscal 2019, the expanded boundary of data aggregation under our medium- to long-term basic management strategy KAITEKI Vision 30 resulted in the additional inclusion of one joint operations company. Given the additional effect of expansion due to acquisitions in previous fiscal years, the total of Scope 1 + Scope 2 emissions showed an increase of 2,442 thousand metric t-CO₂e from fiscal 2018 to 16,629 thousand metric t-CO₂e. Excluding these effects, however, emissions fell by 54 thousand metric t-CO₂e compared to fiscal 2018.

*5 See page 3 of the non-financial data sheet on the Mitsubishi Chemical Group Corporation's website for the calculation method for Scope 3 GHG emissions.

*6 COD, total nitrogen emissions, and total phosphorous emissions each show total quantity of emissions discharged into rivers, lakes, and oceans. Emissions into sewage systems and off-site wastewater treatment plants are excluded.

Non-Financial Information

Social Data

☑ Indicators with this icon have been assured by KPMG AZSA Sustainability Co., Ltd. for fiscal 2021.

Constitution of employees (Mitsubishi Chemical Group)	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
Number of consolidated employees	72,020	69,609	69,607	69,784
Number of employees by district Japan	43,709	40,732	40,774	40,289
Outside Japan	28,311	28,877	28,833	29,495

Aggregation period

Each fiscal year from April 1 to March 31, or as of March 31

Boundary of data aggregation

The figures show those employed by Mitsubishi Chemical, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, Life Science Institute, and Taiyo Nippon Sanso (including those seconded to other companies but excluding those seconded from other companies and workers in fixed-term employment).

Diversity/Work-life balance/Occupational safety	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
☑ Number of employees	22,064	23,116	23,147	22,739
☑ Number of employees by gender Male	18,578	19,444	19,429	18,934
☑ Female	3,486	3,672	3,718	3,805
☑ Percentage of female employees (%)	15.8	15.9	16.1	16.7
☑ Percentage of female managers (%) ^{*7}	8.6	8.9	9.3	10.2
☑ Paid leave utilization rate (%) ^{*8}	71.1	70.8	71.0	73.8
☑ Lost-time injury frequency rate (LTIFR) ^{*9, *10}	0.99	1.09	1.18	1.23

^{*7} Percentage of female employees out of all employees at assistant manager level and above.

^{*8} The denominator is the number of days newly granted and the numerator is the number of days acquired in the reporting fiscal year. The denominator does not include the number of days carried over from the previous fiscal year.

^{*9} Boundary of data aggregation: From fiscal 2018, the data covers the four operating companies and their domestic and overseas Group companies with operating divisions active. From fiscal 2020, the boundary of the LTIFR includes the staff of Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma's Head Office, branches, and sales offices in Japan.

^{*10} The LTIFR is the number of lost-time injuries and fatalities per million hours worked.

Independent Assurance Report

To the President and CEO of Mitsubishi Chemical Group Corporation

We were engaged by Mitsubishi Chemical Group Corporation (the “Company”) to undertake a limited assurance engagement of the environmental and social performance indicators marked with (the “Indicators”) for the period from April 1, 2021 to March 31, 2022 included in its KAITEKI REPORT 2022 (the “Report”) for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2022.

The Company’s Responsibility

The Company is responsible for the preparation of the Indicators in accordance with its own reporting criteria (the “Company’s reporting criteria”), as described in the Report.

Our Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express a limited assurance conclusion on the Indicators based on the procedures we have performed. We conducted our engagement in accordance with the ‘International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000, Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information’ and the ‘ISAE 3410, Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements’ issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. The limited assurance engagement consisted of making inquiries, primarily of persons responsible for the preparation of information presented in the Report, and applying analytical and other procedures, and the procedures performed vary in nature from, and are less in extent than for, a reasonable assurance engagement. The level of assurance provided is thus not as high as that provided by a reasonable assurance engagement. Our assurance procedures included:

- Interviewing the Company’s responsible personnel to obtain an understanding of its policy for preparing the Report and reviewing the Company’s reporting criteria.
- Inquiring about the design of the systems and methods used to collect and process the Indicators.
- Performing analytical procedures on the Indicators.
- Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the generation, aggregation and reporting of the Indicators in conformity with the Company’s reporting criteria, and recalculating the Indicators.
- Visiting the Yoshitomi Plant of Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Factory Ltd. and the Yoshitomi Plant of API Corporation selected on the basis of a risk analysis.
- Evaluating the overall presentation of the Indicators.

Conclusion

Based on the procedures performed, as described above, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the Indicators in the Report are not prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Company’s reporting criteria as described in the Report.

Our Independence and Quality Control

We have complied with the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants, which includes independence and other requirements founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behavior. In accordance with International Standard on Quality Control 1, we maintain a comprehensive system of quality control including documented policies and procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

/s/ Kazuhiko Saito

Kazuhiko Saito, Partner, Representative Director

KPMG AZSA Sustainability Co., Ltd.

Tokyo, Japan

November 28, 2022

Notes to the Reader of Independent Assurance Report:

This is a copy of the Independent Assurance Report and the original copies are kept separately by the Company and KPMG AZSA Sustainability Co., Ltd.